UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/006,530                                 | 12/05/2001                       | Naoto Akimoto        | 1232-4792           | 1342             |
|  | 7590 04/27/200<br>CELLA HARPER & | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA<br>NEW YORK, NY 10112 |                                  |                      | MENBERU, BENIYAM    |                  |
|  |                                  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                                  | 2625                 |                     |                  |
|  |                                  |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                                  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                                  |                      | 04/27/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/006,530      | AKIMOTO ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | A 4   1   ! 4  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |

|  | BENIYAM MENBERU   | 2625   |   |
|--|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add   | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>10 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A  | LLOWANCE.  |   |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance                   | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>it, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).         | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FII                       | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                     |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office                   | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to<br>vithin the time period set forth in 37   | avoid dismissal of the<br>CFR 41.37(a).                                    | e appeal. Since a                         |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  |   |  | cause                                     |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  | •   | TE below);   |   |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauting appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | •   | ducing or simplifying tl   | he issues for                             |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rej   | ected claims.  |   |
| NOTE: Applicant added the limitation "plurality of   |   |  | ", "attaching to a                        |
| single electronic mail" and "for at least one of the   |   | which raises new issu  | es that would                             |
| require further consideration and/or search. (See 3  |   |  |   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |   | mpliant Amendment (l   | PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   | <del></del>   |  |   |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   | ·   | •  | -   |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro<br>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   | ll be entered and an e   | xplanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2 and 5-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |  |   |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fail:  | s to provide a                            |
| 10.  | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach  | ed.                                       |
|  |   |  |   |
| 11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   |   | n condition for allowan  | ce because:                               |
| <ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. Other:</li> </ul>   | it does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowan  | ce because:                               |